Can we get observable, real spectra from non-Hermitian Hamiltonians?

or what should we all know about

pseudo-Hermitian models in quantum mechanics

Miloslav Znojil, Nuclear Physics Institute, 250 68 Řež, Czech Republic

email: znojil@ujf.cas.cz

A. **MOTIVATION**

.

• Let's ask: Do there exist non-Hermitian operators with real spectra?

– The answer "Of course they do!" is easy.

a non-Hermitian spin-model illustrative prelude:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} s & b \\ -b & l \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}
$$

shift: $s = -1, l = 1,$ get: $E = E_{\pm}$ = √ $\overline{1-b^2}$, set: $b = \cos \alpha =$ √ $1 - E^2$

.

• But let's further ask: do they also find applications in quantum (and not only in quantum) physics?

 $-$ the answer "Of course they do!" survives

proof: the famous Klein-Gordon equation

$$
(i \partial_t)^2 \Psi^{(KG)}(x,t) = \hat{H}^{(KG)} \Psi^{(KG)}(x,t)
$$

with abbreviation

.

$$
\varphi_1^{(PB)}(x,t) = i \partial_t \Psi^{(KG)}(x,t), \quad \varphi_2^{(PB)}(x,t) = \Psi^{(KG)}(x,t)
$$

has the manifestly non-Hermitian Hamiltonian form,

$$
i \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1^{(PB)}(x,t) \\ \varphi_2^{(PB)}(x,t) \end{pmatrix} = \hat{h}^{(PB)} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1^{(PB)}(x,t) \\ \varphi_2^{(PB)}(x,t) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \hat{h}^{(PB)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{H}^{(KG)} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Summarizing: non-Hermitian operators $H \neq H^{\dagger}$

- can generate real spectra,
- do find applications in physics.

OK - today, I'll detail these two answers a bit.

A. I. Some more physics: Quantization under relativistic kinematics

.

- problems of physics with Klein-Gordon equation (indefinite norm)
- mathematics of Klein-Gordon equation (pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians)
- parallels with the Wheeler-De-Witt equation (cosmology)
- problems of physics with spin (unbounded Dirac's spectrum)
- strongly attractive Coulomb field (emergence of new degrees of freedom)
- problems in field theory (Gupta and Bleuler, Lee and Yang, Nishijima)

A. II. Even more physics: Quantization under nonrelativistic kinematics

.

- mathematical puzzles of perturbation theory (complex couplings)
- Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick made non-Hermitian (Scholtz, Geyer and Hahne '92)
- quasi-Hermitian IBM models in nuclear physics (Dyson's bosonic mapping)
- particle(s) in a complex potential (indefiniteness of the norm, new SUSY)
- problems with coupled channels (unbounded spectrum, new degrees of freedom)
- beyond quantum theory (α^2) dynamos in MHD)

B. **CONTEXT**

.

B. I. Models with instabilities

.

- strong relativistic external fields (an abrupt complexification of E)
- too attractive central force (fall on the center, complexification of E)
- Jevicki-Rodrigues' limitations of SUSY (impenetrable singularities)
- Das-Pernice's' limitations of SUSY (imperfect regularization)
- 2D oscillators near cranking regime $[\omega_x = 3, \omega_x = 2, \Omega \in (2, 3)]$

(W. D. Heiss and R. Nazmitdinov, 2007)

B. II.

.

Mathematics of the (in)stability

- (Dirac's Coulomb, etc): antiparticles or, in general, physics enters the scene
- (Bender-Turbiner '93): analytic continuations enter the scene (QES models)
- (Buslaev-Greechi '93): $\mathcal{PT}-symmetry$ enters the scene $(V(x) = -x^4)$
- (Bender-Boettcher '98): $\mathcal{PT}-symmetry$ re-enters the scene $(V(x) = x^2(ix)^{\varepsilon})$
- (Znojil '02, Mostafazadeh '02): pseudo-Hermiticity enters the scene
- (Znojil '06): the concept of $\mathcal{PT}-symmetric$ toboggans enters the scene

C. MATHEMATICS

.

C. I..

.

Analytic continuation?

- (Bender and Wu '69): complexified **couplings**, $E_n(\lambda) =$ analytic function
- (Bender and Turbiner '93): $V(x) = a^2x^6 3ax^2 =$ four eigenvalue problems
- (Cannata et al '98): exponential $V(x) =$ infinitely many eigenvalue problems
- (change of variables): spiked $V(x) = \text{infinitely many eigenvalue problems}$ M. Znojil, Phys. Lett. A 342 (2005) 36 - 47

C. II.

.

PT-symmetry ?

- (Buslaev and Greechi 1993): symmetry of $H = p^2 + \omega^2 x^2 x^4$
- (Bender and Boettcher 1998): symmetry of $H = p^2 (ix)^{2+\epsilon}$ with $\varepsilon \ge 0$
- (Mostafazadeh 2002): special case of P−pseudo-Hermiticity
- (Znojil 2007): special case of quantum toboggans

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 (2006) 13325 - 13336

D. PSEUDOHERMITIAN QUANTUM MECHANICS

.

the spin-model prelude once more:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} -1 & b \\ -b & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

 \heartsuit the pseudo-Hermiticity for pedestrians: $H^{\dagger} = \mathcal{P} H \mathcal{P}^{-1}$

 \clubsuit a restored Hermiticity for pedestrians: $H^{\dagger} = \Theta H \Theta^{-1}$ ansatz for the "metric": $\Theta =$ \cdot $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ & \end{pmatrix}$ b d UN
V \Rightarrow 2bT = $-B(a + d)$ positivity of Θ : eigenvalues $\theta_{1,2} > 0 \Longleftrightarrow b \neq 0 \neq a+d = 2Z$ reparametrization: $a = Z(1 + \xi)$, $d = Z(1 - \xi)$, family of acceptable metrics: $1 >$ \mathcal{L} $\xi^2 + \sin^2 \alpha$, $0 \le \xi < \cos \alpha$ the most popular choice: $\xi = 0$

D. I.

.

Advanced definitions of pseudo-Hermiticity

- (textbooks): symmetry of H such that $H^{\dagger} = \mathcal{P} H \mathcal{P}^{-1}$, with $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}^{\dagger}$
- (Mostafazadeh 2002): P need not be known
- (Solombrino 2002/ Znojil 2006): P need not be self-adjoint

 $(\Longrightarrow$ the "weak"/"strengthened" pseudo-Hermiticity)

(M.Z., Phys. Lett. A 353 (2006) 463 - 468 and

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 (2006) 4047 - 4061)

D. II.

.

Typical example: ODE with $x\in(-\infty,\infty)$

$$
H^{(BB)}(\nu) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + g(x) x^2, \qquad g(x) = \omega^2 + (ix)^{\nu}, \qquad \nu \ge 0
$$

Hermiticity replaced by $\mathcal{PT}-symmetry:$

$$
H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + U(x) + iW(x) \neq H^{\dagger}, \quad U(x) = U(-x), \quad W(x) = -W(-x),
$$

E. ARE WE STILL INSIDE QUANTUM MECHANICS? YES, WE ARE!

.

E. I.

.

Ad hoc redefinition of the inner product

• discovery (nuclear physics)

(F.G.S., H.B.G. and F.J.W.H., Ann. Phys. 213 (1992) 74)

- rediscoveries: Znojil 2002, Mostafazadeh 2002, Bender et al 2002
- series of dedicated conferences:

 $(\Longrightarrow$ http://gemma.ujf.cas.cz/~ znojil)

- various symbols for the "metric": T, \mathcal{PQ} , η_+ , \mathcal{CP} , $\exp Q$, Θ
- the most recent review: C. Bender, hep-th/0703096.

E. II. Quantum Mechanics on one page: Reality of spectra: condition sine qua non

.

- quintessence: quasi-Hermiticity $H^{\dagger} = \Theta H \Theta^{-1}$, $\Theta > 0$
- explicit formulae:

$$
H = \sum_{n} |n\rangle \frac{E_n}{\langle \langle n|n\rangle} \langle \langle n| \text{ and } \Theta = \sum_{n} |n\rangle \langle n| \langle n|
$$

 $\bullet\,$ two definitions needed:

 $H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$ and $\langle n|H = E_n \langle n|$

Summary: All these results are freshly published:

.

Miloslav Znojil,

Maximal couplings in PT-symmetric chain-models with the real spectrum of

energies

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 (2007) 4863 - 4875.

(math-ph/0703070).